Solving Recurrences I COMS10007 2020, Lecture 13

Dr. John Lapinskas (substituting for Dr. Christian Konrad)

March 16th 2020

Many algorithms in this course (and in general!) follow the **divide-and-conquer** approach:

- **O Divide** the problem into smaller instances of the same problem.
- Conquer the subproblems by solving them, either recursively or directly.
- Ombine the solutions to the subproblems into a solution for the original problem.

Many algorithms in this course (and in general!) follow the **divide-and-conquer** approach:

- **O Divide** the problem into smaller instances of the same problem.
- Conquer the subproblems by solving them, either recursively or directly.
- Ombine the solutions to the subproblems into a solution for the original problem.

For example:

- Mergesort.
- Quicksort.
- The maximum subarray algorithm.
- Binary search.
- FAST-PEAK-FINDING.

Recall: Merge Sort

Divide

Split input array A of length n into subarrays $A_1 = A[0, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor]$ and $A_2 = A[\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1, n - 1]$



Recall: Merge Sort

- **1** Divide $A \rightarrow A_1$ and A_2
- 2 Conquer

Sort A_1 and A_2 recursively using the same algorithm



Recall: Merge Sort

- **1** Divide $A \rightarrow A_1$ and A_2
- **2** Conquer Solve A_1 and A_2

Combine

Combine sorted subarrays A_1 and A_2 and obtain sorted array A



Recall: Merge Sort

- **2** Conquer Solve A_1 and A_2

Combine

Combine sorted subarrays A_1 and A_2 and obtain sorted array A



Runtime: (assuming that *n* is a power of 2)

$$T(1) = O(1) T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$

Recurrences

- Divide-and-conquer algorithms naturally lead to recurrences (or "recurrence relations") like that one.
- How can we solve them? Or at least get a decent upper bound?

Recurrences

- Divide-and-conquer algorithms naturally lead to recurrences (or "recurrence relations") like that one.
- How can we solve them? Or at least get a decent upper bound?

Methods for solving recurrences

- Recursion-tree method (as used for mergesort and max subarray).
 Often has too many awkward details (e.g. floors and ceilings, pivots), but great for getting intuition.
- Substitution method (this lecture).
 Very powerful, but needs a reasonable initial guess.
- The "Master Theorem".

Only applies to recurrences of the form T(n) = aT(n/b) + f(n), but makes things trivial when it does apply. Not covered in this course.

Generally: use recursion-tree to get a guess for substitution!

- Remove the O-notation from the recurrence.
- **2** Guess a partial form of the solution (with some unknown constants).
- Use mathematical induction to show the solution works for the right choice of constants.

Dealing with O-notation can introduce some added complications...

- Remove the O-notation from the recurrence.
- Q Guess a partial form of the solution (with some unknown constants).
- Use mathematical induction to show the solution works for the right choice of constants.

Dealing with O-notation can introduce some added complications...

Example: The recurrence from mergesort (when n is a power of two).

$$T(1) = O(1),$$

 $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n).$

- Remove the O-notation from the recurrence.
- **2** Guess a partial form of the solution (with some unknown constants).
- Use mathematical induction to show the solution works for the right choice of constants.

Dealing with O-notation can introduce some added complications...

Example: The recurrence from mergesort (when n is a power of two).

$$T(1) = O(1),$$

 $T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n).$

Step 1: Replace the O-notation by constants.

- Remove the O-notation from the recurrence.
- **2** Guess a partial form of the solution (with some unknown constants).
- Use mathematical induction to show the solution works for the right choice of constants.

Dealing with O-notation can introduce some added complications...

Example: The recurrence from mergesort (when n is a power of two).

$$\begin{aligned} T(1) &= O(1), & \longrightarrow & T(n) \leq c_1 & \text{for all } n \leq n_0, \\ T(n) &= 2T(n/2) + O(n). & T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + c_2n \text{ for all } n > n_0. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1: Replace the O-notation by constants. Remember, $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ means that there exist C and n_0 such that for all $n \ge n_0$, $f(n) \le Cg(n)$.

- Remove the O-notation from the recurrence.
- **2** Guess a partial form of the solution (with some unknown constants).
- Use mathematical induction to show the solution works for the right choice of constants.

Dealing with O-notation can introduce some added complications...

Example: The recurrence from mergesort (when n is a power of two).

$$\begin{split} T(1) &= O(1), & \longrightarrow \quad T(n) \leq c_1 & \text{for all } n \leq n_0, \\ T(n) &= 2T(n/2) + O(n). & T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + c_2n \text{ for all } n > n_0. \end{split}$$

Step 1: Replace the O-notation by constants. Remember, $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ means that there exist C and n_0 such that for all $n \ge n_0$, $f(n) \le Cg(n)$.

For mergesort specifically, we can take $n_0 = 1$.

- **1** Remove the O-notation from the recurrence.
- **2** Guess a partial form of the solution (with some unknown constants).
- Use mathematical induction to show the solution works for the right choice of constants.

Dealing with O-notation can introduce some added complications...

Example: The recurrence from mergesort (when n is a power of two).

$$\begin{aligned} T(1) &= O(1), & \longrightarrow & T(1) \leq c_1, \\ T(n) &= 2T(n/2) + O(n). & T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + c_2n \text{ for all } n > 1. \end{aligned}$$

Step 1: Replace the O-notation by constants. Remember, $f(n) \in O(g(n))$ means that there exist C and n_0 such that for all $n \ge n_0$, $f(n) \le Cg(n)$.

For mergesort specifically, we can take $n_0 = 1$.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Step 2: Guess a bound. Here, guess $T(n) \leq Cn \log n$ for some C > 0.

Step 3: Prove it works by induction.

Base case n = 1: $T(1) \le c_1$, and $C \cdot 1 \log(1) = 0 > c_1$.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Step 2: Guess a bound. Here, guess $T(n) \leq Cn \log n$ for some C > 0.

Step 3: Prove it works by induction.

Base case n = 1: $T(1) \le c_1$, and $C \cdot 1 \log(1) = 0 > c_1$... wait, no. :-(

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Step 2: Guess a bound. Here, guess $T(n) \le Cn \log n$ for some C > 0. **Step 3:** Prove it works by induction.

Base case n = 1: $T(1) \le c_1$, and $C \cdot 1 \log(1) = 0 > c_1$... wait, no. :-(

But it's fine! We're only trying to prove $T(n) = O(n \log n)$, which means we need $T(n) \le Cn \log n$ for all $n \ge n_0$ (for some C, n_0 of our choice).

We **don't** need $T(1) \leq C \cdot 1 \log 1$. We can just take $n_0 = 2$.

Key point: Since we're only going for asymptotic results, not exact results, we can choose any base case we want.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Note that we haven't fixed a value for C yet — we'll see what values work over the course of the proof.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Note that we haven't fixed a value for C yet — we'll see what values work over the course of the proof.

Base case n = 2: We have

$$T(2) \leq 2T(1) + c_2 \cdot 2 \leq 2(c_1 + c_2),$$

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Note that we haven't fixed a value for C yet — we'll see what values work over the course of the proof.

Base case n = 2: We have

$$T(2) \le 2T(1) + c_2 \cdot 2 \le 2(c_1 + c_2),$$

 $C \cdot 2 \log 2 = 2C.$

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Note that we haven't fixed a value for C yet — we'll see what values work over the course of the proof.

Base case n = 2: We have

$$T(2) \le 2T(1) + c_2 \cdot 2 \le 2(c_1 + c_2),$$

 $C \cdot 2 \log 2 = 2C.$

So $T(2) \leq C \cdot 2 \log 2$ as long as we choose $C \geq c_1 + c_2$.

 $T(1) \le c_1,$ $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2n \text{ for all } n > 1.$ Step 3: Prove by induction that $T(n) \le Cn \log n$ for all $n \ge 2$. Base case n = 2: Requires $C \ge c_1 + c_2$.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Base case n = 2: Requires $C \ge c_1 + c_2$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n'$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n$. $T(1) \le c_1,$ $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Step 3: Prove by induction that $T(n) \leq Cn \log n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Base case n = 2: Requires $C \ge c_1 + c_2$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n'$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n$.

By the induction hypothesis,

 $T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + c_2 n$

 $T(1) \le c_1,$ $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Step 3: Prove by induction that $T(n) \leq Cn \log n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Base case n = 2: Requires $C \ge c_1 + c_2$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n'$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n$.

By the induction hypothesis,

$$T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2n \le 2C \cdot \frac{n}{2}\log(n/2) + c_2n$$

 $T(1) \le c_1,$ $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Step 3: Prove by induction that $T(n) \leq Cn \log n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Base case n = 2: Requires $C \ge c_1 + c_2$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n'$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n$.

By the induction hypothesis,

$$T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \le 2C \cdot \frac{n}{2} \log(n/2) + c_2 n$$

= $Cn(\log(n) - 1) + c_2 n$

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Base case n = 2: Requires $C \ge c_1 + c_2$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n'$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n$.

By the induction hypothesis,

$$T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \le 2C \cdot \frac{n}{2} \log(n/2) + c_2 n$$

= $Cn(\log(n) - 1) + c_2 n = Cn \log(n) + (c_2 - C)n.$

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Base case n = 2: Requires $C \ge c_1 + c_2$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n'$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n$.

By the induction hypothesis,

$$T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2n \le 2C \cdot \frac{n}{2}\log(n/2) + c_2n$$

= $Cn(\log(n) - 1) + c_2n = Cn\log(n) + (c_2 - C)n.$

This is at most $Cn \log n$ as long as we choose $C \geq c_2$.

 $T(1) \leq c_1,$ $T(n) \leq 2T(n/2) + c_2n \text{ for all } n > 1.$ Step 3: Prove by induction that $T(n) \leq Cn \log n$ for all $n \geq 2$. Base case n = 2: Requires $C \geq c_1 + c_2$. Inductive step: Requires $C \geq c_2$.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Base case n = 2: Requires $C \ge c_1 + c_2$.

Inductive step: Requires $C \ge c_2$.

So we have proved $T(n) \leq (c_1 + c_2) \log n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

This implies $T(n) = O(n \log n)$, as we were hoping.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Base case n = 2: Requires $C \ge c_1 + c_2$.

Inductive step: Requires $C \ge c_2$.

So we have proved $T(n) \leq (c_1 + c_2) \log n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

This implies $T(n) = O(n \log n)$, as we were hoping.

But what if n isn't a power of 2?

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le 2T(n/2) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n > 1.$

Base case n = 2: Requires $C \ge c_1 + c_2$.

Inductive step: Requires $C \ge c_2$.

So we have proved $T(n) \leq (c_1 + c_2) \log n$ for all $n \geq 2$.

This implies $T(n) = O(n \log n)$, as we were hoping.

But what if *n* isn't a power of 2?

For a back-of-the-envelope calculation, we'd just say $T(n) \le T(N)$ where N is the nearest power of two. But sometimes this might be false...

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$

To deal with floors and ceilings, our guess needs an **additive** term. Let's try to find C and a such that $T(n) \leq Cn \log(n) + a$ for all $n \geq 2$.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$

To deal with floors and ceilings, our guess needs an **additive** term. Let's try to find C and a such that $T(n) \leq Cn \log(n) + a$ for all $n \geq 2$. Base case n = 2:

As before, $T(2) \leq 2T(1) + 2c_2 \leq 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$

To deal with floors and ceilings, our guess needs an **additive** term. Let's try to find C and a such that $T(n) \leq Cn \log(n) + a$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Base case n = 2:

As before, $T(2) \le 2T(1) + 2c_2 \le 2(c_1 + c_2)$. Also, we have $C \cdot 2\log(2) + a = 2C + a$.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$

To deal with floors and ceilings, our guess needs an **additive** term. Let's try to find C and a such that $T(n) \leq Cn \log(n) + a$ for all $n \geq 2$.

Base case n = 2:

As before, $T(2) \le 2T(1) + 2c_2 \le 2(c_1 + c_2)$. Also, we have $C \cdot 2\log(2) + a = 2C + a$.

So the base case works whenever $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

$$T(1) \leq c_1,$$

 $T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \geq 2.$
rove by induction that for all $n \geq 2$, $T(n) \leq Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case n = 2: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Goal: P

$$T(1) \leq c_1,$$

 $T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \geq 2.$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case
$$n = 2$$
: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n' + a$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n + a$.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

 $T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case
$$n = 2$$
: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n' + a$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n + a$. We have $T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n$ $\le C(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \log(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \log(\lceil n/2 \rceil)) + 2a + c_2 n$.

$$T(1) \leq c_1,$$

 $T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n ext{ for all } n \geq 2.$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case
$$n = 2$$
: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n' + a$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n + a$. We have

$$T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n$$

$$\leq C\left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \log(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \log(\lceil n/2 \rceil) \right) + 2a + c_2 n.$$

To deal with floors and ceilings, we normally use these bounds:

$$\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lceil x \rceil \leq x + 1 \text{ for all } x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad \lceil x \rceil \leq 2x \text{ for all } x \geq 1.$$

$$T(1) \leq c_1, \ T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n ext{ for all } n \geq 2.$$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case
$$n = 2$$
: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n' + a$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n + a$. We have

$$T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n$$

$$\leq C\left(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \log(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil \log(\lceil n/2 \rceil) \right) + 2a + c_2 n.$$

To deal with floors and ceilings, we normally use these bounds:

 $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lceil x \rceil \leq x+1$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lceil x \rceil \leq 2x$ for all $x \geq 1$. Using the "right" bounds in the "right" expressions:

$$T(n) \leq C\left(\frac{n}{2}\log(n/2) + \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)\log(n)\right) + 2a + c_2n.$$

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

$$T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case
$$n = 2$$
: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n' + a$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n + a$. We showed

$$T(n) \leq C\left(\frac{n}{2}\log(n/2) + \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)\log(n)\right) + 2a + c_2n.$$

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

$$T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case
$$n = 2$$
: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n' + a$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n + a$. We showed

$$T(n) \leq C\left(rac{n}{2}\log(n/2) + \left(rac{n}{2} + 1
ight)\log(n)
ight) + 2a + c_2n.$$

We also bound $log(n/2) \le log(n)$ to make the algebra a bit easier.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

$$T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case
$$n = 2$$
: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n' + a$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n + a$. We showed

$$T(n) \leq C\left(\frac{n}{2}\log(n) + \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)\log(n)\right) + 2a + c_2n.$$

We also bound $log(n/2) \le log(n)$ to make the algebra a bit easier.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

$$T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case
$$n = 2$$
: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Inductive step: Suppose that for all $2 \le n' < n$, $T(n') \le Cn' \log n' + a$. Then we must prove $T(n) \le Cn \log n + a$. We showed

$$T(n) \leq C\left(\frac{n}{2}\log(n) + \left(\frac{n}{2} + 1\right)\log(n)\right) + 2a + c_2n.$$

We also bound $log(n/2) \le log(n)$ to make the algebra a bit easier. Then rearranging gives:

$$T(n) \leq Cn\log(n) + \log(n) + 2a + c_2n$$

This is at most $Cn \log(n)$ as long as we take $a \leq -(\log(n) + c_2 n)/2$. \checkmark

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

$$T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$. **Base case** n = 2: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$. **Inductive step:** Requires $a \le -(\log(n) + c_2n)/2$.

So all that's left is to pick C and a that work.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

$$T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case n = 2: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Inductive step: Requires $a \leq -(\log(n) + c_2 n)/2$.

So all that's left is to pick C and a that work.

If we take $a(n) = -(\log(n) + c_2 n)/2$, then the inductive step works and $a(2) = -\frac{1}{2} - c_2$.

$$T(1) \le c_1,$$

$$T(n) \le T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \ge 2.$$

Goal: Prove by induction that for all $n \ge 2$, $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a$.

Base case
$$n = 2$$
: Requires $2C + a \ge 2(c_1 + c_2)$.

Inductive step: Requires $a \leq -(\log(n) + c_2 n)/2$.

So all that's left is to pick C and a that work.

If we take $a(n) = -(\log(n) + c_2 n)/2$, then the inductive step works and $a(2) = -\frac{1}{2} - c_2$.

So to make the base case work, we take

$$C = c_1 + c_2 - \frac{a}{2} = c_1 + \frac{3}{2}c_2 + \frac{1}{4} > 0.$$

(Note we do need C > 0 here!)

$$T(1) \leq c_1,$$

$$T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + T(\lceil n/2 \rceil) + c_2 n \text{ for all } n \geq 2.$$

We proved: Let $C = c_1 + \frac{3}{2}c_2 + \frac{1}{4}$, and let $a(n) = -\frac{1}{2}(c_2n + \log(n))$.
Then $T(n) \leq Cn \log(n) + a(n)$ for all $n \geq 2$.

$$T(1) \leq c_1, \ T(n) \leq T(\lfloor n/2
floor) + T(\lceil n/2
floor) + c_2 n ext{ for all } n \geq 2.$$

We proved: Let $C = c_1 + \frac{3}{2}c_2 + \frac{1}{4}$, and let $a(n) = -\frac{1}{2}(c_2n + \log(n))$. Then $T(n) \le Cn \log(n) + a(n)$ for all $n \ge 2$.

In particular, this implies $T(n) = O(n \log n)$ as before. Phew!

Note we proved something **stronger** than $T(n) \leq Cn \log(n)$ for all $n \geq 2$. And yet, if we'd tried the proof with a(n) = 0, it wouldn't have worked!

It's counterintuitive, but if you're having trouble with an induction, strengthening your inductive hypothesis can be very helpful.

Next time: More examples!

(Lecture to be given online...)