## Advanced topics in TCS

# Exercise sheet 4: Solution <br> Minimum spanning tree, Testing $k$-connectivity 

Christian Konrad

## Question 1. Minimum Spanning Tree (MST)

We consider a weighted graph $G=(V, E, w)$, where $w: E \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ is an edge weight function. A minimum spanning tree $F \subseteq E$ in $G$ is a spanning tree in $G$ of minimum weight, i.e., the sum of its edge weights is as small as possible.

We consider the streaming edge-arrival model where the edges arrive together with their weights. More specifically, the input stream consists of a sequence of tuples $\left(e_{i}, w\left(e_{i}\right)\right)_{i}$, where $w\left(e_{i}\right)$ is the weight of edge $e_{i}$.

1. Give a 1-pass semi-streaming algorithm for computing an MST. Solution:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F \leftarrow \emptyset \\
& \text { While stream not empty: }
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Let e be the next edge in the stream
(b) if $(F \cup\{e\})$ does not contain a cycle then $F \leftarrow F \cup\{e\}$
(c) else $((F \cup\{e\})$ does contain a cycle)
i. Let $C$ be the edge set of the (unique) cycle in $F \cup\{e\}$
ii. Let $f$ be an edge of maximum weight in $C \backslash\{e\}$
iii. if $w(f)>w(e)$ then $F \leftarrow(F \backslash\{f\}) \cup\{e\}$
return $F$
2. Let $E_{i}$ be the first $i$ edges in the stream, $G_{i}=\left(V, E_{i},\left.w\right|_{E_{i}}\right)$ (where $\left.w\right|_{E_{i}}$ denotes the weight function $w$ restricted to the domain $E_{i}$ ), and let $F_{i}$ denote the collection of edges stored by the algorithm given in the previous exercise after iteration $i$. Prove by induction that $F_{i}$ is a MST in $G_{i}$.

The following property may be useful:

Lemma 1. Let $T \subseteq E$ be a spanning tree in a weighted graph $G=(V, E, w)$. Then, if $T$ is not a minimum spanning tree, then there exists an edge $e \in E \backslash T$ such that $w(e)<w(f)$, for at least one edge $f$ different to $e$ in the unique cycle in $T \cup\{e\}$.

Hint: Adapt the spanning tree algorithm from the lecture.

## Solution:

Proof.
Base case. $F_{0}=\emptyset$ and $E_{0}=\emptyset$. Observe that $F_{0}$ is a MST of an empty graph.
Induction step. Let $F_{i}$ be a MST in graph $G_{i}$. We will only consider the interesting case when $F_{i+1}=\left(F_{i} \backslash\left\{f_{i+1}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{e_{i+1}\right\}$, where $f_{i+1}$ is the edge of the cycle $C_{i+1}$ that was removed when inserting $e_{i+1}$. Observe that this implies that $w\left(e_{i+1}\right)<w\left(f_{i+1}\right)$.
Assume for the sake of a contradiction that $F_{i+1}$ is not a MST in $G_{i+1}$. Then, by Lemma 1, there exists an edge $e \in E_{i+1} \backslash F_{i+1}$ such that $F_{i+1} \cup\{e\}$ contains a unique cycle $C$ with $w(e)<w(f)$ for some edge $f \in C \backslash\{e\}$. Since $e_{i+1} \in F_{i+1}$ and $e \notin F_{i+1}$, we have $e \neq e_{i+1}$ and therefore $e \in E_{i}$.
We will argue now that $F_{i} \cup\{e\}$ also contains a cycle $C^{\prime}$ such that $e$ is not a heaviest edge in $C^{\prime}$. This, however, contradicts then the fact that $F_{i}$ is a MST, since we could swap in $F_{i}$ the edge $e$ with a heaviest edge in $C^{\prime}$ and create a spanning tree of less weight.
We consider two cases:
(a) First, suppose that $e_{i+1} \notin C$. Then, $C \subseteq E_{i}$ and $C$ also constitutes a cycle in $F_{i} \cup\{e\}$ with the same property that $e$ is not a heaviest edge in this cycle.
(b) Next, suppose that $e_{i+1} \in C$. Then, the symmetric difference $C^{\prime}=$ $C \oplus\left(C_{i+1} \backslash\left\{e_{i+1}\right\}\right)$ (with $A \oplus B:=(A \backslash B) \cup(B \backslash A)$ ) also forms a cycle that necessarily contains the edges $f_{i+1}$ and $e$ (see Figure 1). Two configurations are possible:
Suppose first that $f \in C^{\prime}$ (top illustration in Figure 1). Then we are done since $w(e)<w(f)$.
Next, suppose that $f \notin C^{\prime}$ (bottom illustration in Figure 1). Then, we necessarily have that $f \in C_{i+1}$ and since the algorithm removed $f_{i+1}$ from $F_{i}$ instead of $f$, we have $w(f) \leq w\left(f_{i+1}\right)$. Since $w(e)<w(f)$, we also have $w(e)<w_{f_{i+1}}$ and $e$ is thus not the heaviest edge.

## Question 2. Deciding $k$-Connectivity

We say that a graph $G$ is $k$-connected if we need to remove at least $k$ edges from $G$ in order to disconnect $G$.




Figure 1: Solution to the MST exercise. Top: Case $f \in C^{\prime}$. Bottom: Case $f \notin C^{\prime}$.

Consider the following algorithm for deciding $k$-connectivity of a graph:

1. $F_{1}, F_{2}, \ldots, F_{k} \leftarrow \varnothing$
2. For each edge $e$ in the stream: If there is an $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ such that $F_{i} \cup\{e\}$ has no cycle then add $e$ to $F_{i}$ (if there are multiple such $i$ then pick only one, ties can be broken arbitrarily)
3. Post-processing: Let $F=\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} F_{i}$

If $(V, F)$ is $k$-connected then return " $G$ is $k$-connected", otherwise return " $G$ is not $k$-connected"

Algorithm 1.

1. How much space does Algorithm 1 use (as a function of $n$ and $k$ )?

Proof.
Since each set $F_{i}$ is a spanning forest, we have $\left|F_{i}\right| \leq n-1$. We thus store at most $k \cdot(n-1)$ edges. Accounting space $O(\log n)$ for the storage of an edge, we obtain space $O(k n \log n)$. Observe that this is a semi-streaming algorithm as long as $k=O($ poly $\log n)$.
2. Prove that the algorithm is correct.

Proof.
Suppose first that $(V, F)$ is $k$-connected. Then, since $(V, F)$ is a subgraph of
$G$, we have that $G$ is also $k$-connected. The algorithm is thus correct when it outputs $G$ is $k$-connected.
Suppose now for the sake of a contradiction that $G$ is $k$-connected but the algorithm outputs $G$ is not $k$-connected. The fact that the algorithm outputs that $G$ is not $k$-connected implies that $(V, F)$ is not $k$-connected. Hence, we can remove at most $k-1$ edges from $(V, F)$ in order to disconnect $(V, F)$, or, in other words, the graph $(V, F)$ contains a cut, i.e., a partitioning of the vertex set into two parts $S, V \backslash S$, such that at most $k-1$ edges connect $V$ to $S \backslash V$. Observe that this also implies that there exists an index $i$ such that $F_{i}$ does not contain an edge across the cut $(S, V \backslash S)$. Recall that $G$ is $k$-connected. Hence, there exists an edge $e \in E \backslash F$ that connects a vertex in $S$ to a vertex in $V \backslash S$. However, this implies that when $e$ arrived in the stream, it would have been inserted into $F_{i}$ : Since $F_{i}$ does not contain an edge crossing the cut, adding $e$ to $F_{i}$ would not create a cycle). This is a contradiction, which completes the proof.

